

**JURIDICAL ANALYSIS OF THE REASONS FOR THE ANNULMENT OF
INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION AWARDS BY THE SUPREME COURT IN
DECISION NO. 219 B/PDT.SUS-ARBT/2016**

Lauren B. Edelman¹, M. Susilo Agung Saputro²

¹ Center for the Study of Law and Society, University of California, Berkeley, California

²Program Study of Law, Duta Bangsa University, Surakarta, Indonesia

Email: ¹ledelman@uclink4.berkeley.edu, ²agungsaputro@gmail.com

ABSTRACT; There are often obstacles in the recognition and enforcement of international arbitration awards, particularly concerning annulment grounds submitted to the Supreme Court, such as procedural non-compliance, allegations of fraud, and inconsistencies with the arbitration agreement, which may affect confidence in arbitration as a dispute resolution mechanism. This study aims to explore the mechanisms, challenges, and implications of annulment, as well as comprehensively examine the legal foundations underlying decisions and their impact on the parties' trust in arbitration. The research employs a normative juridical approach, with the results analyzed qualitatively. The findings indicate that the Supreme Court of the Republic of Indonesia plays a crucial role in annulling international arbitration awards based on national law and the principles of justice, especially in cases involving procedural violations or conflicts with public interest. The Supreme Court's rulings in international arbitration cases have significant legal implications, including clarifying the requirements for arbitration award recognition, enhancing legal certainty for investors, and strengthening Indonesia's legal standing in international agreements. While Supreme Court decisions may reinforce the arbitration system, diverse perspectives exist among stakeholders—business actors and investors may be concerned about legal uncertainty, whereas regulators and legal practitioners view such rulings as essential for maintaining arbitration integrity. Therefore, consistency, transparency, and the strengthening of arbitration regulations are key factors in sustaining trust in Indonesia's arbitration system.

Keywords: Arbitration; Law; Supreme Court; Award Annulment.

INTRODUCTION

Arbitration is increasingly being chosen as a dispute resolution method at both international and national levels. It provides an alternative for parties to resolve conflicts without undergoing formal court proceedings, involving a neutral arbitrator. One of the main advantages of arbitration is its flexibility, as well as its efficiency in terms of time and costs compared to litigation in court. Additionally, arbitration offers a higher level of confidentiality and allows parties to select an arbitrator with specialized expertise relevant to the dispute.

In Indonesia, arbitration is governed by Law No. 30 of 1999 on Arbitration and Alternative Dispute Resolution, which provides the legal foundation for resolving disputes through arbitration. However, arbitration practice in Indonesia still faces various challenges, such as the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards, which are often hindered by legal and administrative factors. In this regard, analyzing arbitration decisions and understanding the relevant legal frameworks are essential to improving the effectiveness and trust in this dispute resolution mechanism.

The annulment of international arbitration awards is a significant issue in legal practice, as arbitration decisions are generally considered final and binding on the parties involved. However, there are instances where one party may seek to annul an award, usually through the courts of the country where the arbitration took place. The annulment process is regulated by various laws, including national arbitration laws and international conventions such as the 1958 New York Convention. Grounds for annulment may include non-compliance with arbitration procedures, unfair proceedings, or violations of local public policy.

One common ground for annulment is the violation of the *audi et alteram partem* principle, which requires that all parties be given the opportunity to present their arguments and evidence before a decision is made. If a party believes they were not given a fair opportunity to defend their position, they may file for annulment. Additionally, allegations of fraud, coercion, or abuse of power by the arbitrator can also serve as legitimate reasons for annulling an arbitral award. Maintaining integrity and fairness in the arbitration process is therefore crucial to ensuring that this dispute resolution method remains reliable.

The implications of annulling international arbitration awards can significantly impact the confidence of parties in the arbitration mechanism itself. When an award is annulled, it not only disadvantages the party that should have prevailed but also creates uncertainty for other parties considering arbitration in the future. Therefore, to ensure the sustainability and reliability of arbitration as a dispute resolution method, it is essential for all parties—including arbitrators and legal professionals—to adhere to applicable legal principles and established procedures.

In Indonesia, the annulment of international arbitration awards presents unique challenges. Although Law No. 30 of 1999 provides a clear legal framework, in practice, there are frequent obstacles in recognizing and enforcing international arbitral awards. Therefore, analyzing Supreme Court decisions related to arbitration annulment is crucial to understanding how national law adapts to global developments and how parties can protect their rights and interests in the arbitration process.

This study examines a case involving PT Indratex Spinndo and Everseason Enterprises Ltd, which serves as an important subject of analysis, particularly in Supreme Court Decision No. 219 B/PDT.SUS-ARBT/2016. First, it discusses the enforcement of an international arbitration award submitted by PT Indratex Spinndo, which was challenged due to the delayed registration of the award by Everseason Enterprises Ltd—beyond the time limit stipulated in Indonesia's Arbitration Law—leading to its annulment.

Second, the case involved allegations of fraudulent misrepresentation by Everseason Enterprises Ltd in claiming to be a Hong Kong-based company, whereas it was actually registered in the British Virgin Islands, which raised concerns about the integrity of the arbitration process. Furthermore, legal issues regarding the imposition of sanctions in the international arbitration award—argued by PT Indratex Spinndo to be beyond the scope of the agreed contract—were also cited as grounds for annulment under the 1958 New York Convention.

In this decision, the Supreme Court provided a thorough legal assessment of the annulment grounds and the legal implications arising from the ruling. Considering the legal background and challenges faced by arbitration in Indonesia, arbitration serves not only as an efficient dispute resolution method but also reflects the evolving legal landscape in response to globalization and the complexity of international transactions.

This research aims to explore the mechanisms, challenges, and implications of arbitration, as well as comprehensively examine the legal foundations underlying arbitration awards and their impact on the trust of parties in the arbitration process

Problem

1. What is the legal basis used by the Supreme Court of the Republic of Indonesia in annulling the international arbitration award of The International Cotton Association Limited (ICA) dated December 14, 2012?
2. What are the legal implications of the Supreme Court's decision on the practice of international arbitration in Indonesia?
3. How do relevant parties perceive the Supreme Court's decision in relation to trust in the arbitration system in Indonesia?

Research Methods

The research method applied in this study is juridical analysis, which aims to investigate and understand the reasons behind the annulment of the international arbitration award by the Supreme Court in Decision No. 219 B/PDT.SUS-ARBT/2016. This study employs a normative approach by analyzing relevant legal regulations, including Law No. 30 of 1999 on Arbitration and the 1958 New York Convention. The data collected consists of legal documents, Supreme Court rulings, and academic literature related to arbitration and relevant legal principles. Through this method, the research examines the legal aspects underlying the annulment and the legal implications arising from the decision. The analysis is conducted by reviewing arguments from both parties while considering the legal context and arbitration practices in Indonesia. With this approach, the study aims to provide a deeper understanding of the challenges and mechanisms of dispute resolution through arbitration, as well as its impact on the trust of parties involved in future arbitration processes.

Discussion

1. Legal Basis of the Supreme Court of the Republic of Indonesia in Annuling the International Arbitration Award of ICA (The International Cotton Association Limited)

The Supreme Court of the Republic of Indonesia plays a crucial role in overseeing and enforcing laws related to international arbitration, particularly in the annulment of arbitration awards. The legal basis for annulling international arbitration awards is regulated in various laws, including Law No. 30 of 1999 on Arbitration and Alternative Dispute Resolution, which provides a clear legal framework for arbitration proceedings and establishes specific grounds for annulment requests.

One of the most frequently used grounds for annulment is non-compliance with arbitration procedures, including violations of the *audi et alteram partem* principle. This principle requires that each party be given a fair opportunity to present their arguments and evidence before a decision is made. If a party feels that they were not given a fair chance to defend their position, they may file for annulment.

The Supreme Court also considers broader legal aspects. If an arbitration award is deemed to conflict with Indonesia's legal values or prevailing social norms, this may serve as a basis for annulment. This reflects the Supreme Court's commitment to upholding the integrity of the national legal system and protecting public interest.

Based on the available documents, the Supreme Court of the Republic of Indonesia annulled the ICA international arbitration award dated December 14, 2012, citing the following legal reasons:

- a. The arbitration award was not executed within 30 days as required by Article 59(1) of Law No. 30 of 1999, as it was only registered on May 5, 2014, exceeding the time limit.

- b. The applicant's country (Everseason Enterprises from the British Virgin Islands) was not bound by the 1958 New York Convention at the time the contract and arbitration proceedings took place.
- c. Elements of fraud were found, where the respondent misrepresented their legal status and company location, which was actually in the British Virgin Islands rather than in Hong Kong.
- d. The arbitration award imposed obligations on PT Indratex Spinbo beyond the scope of the agreed contract (Article V(1)(c) of the New York Convention).
- e. The arbitration award was under appeal, rendering the initial decision non-binding.

These key legal grounds formed the basis for the Supreme Court's annulment of the international arbitration award. The Supreme Court of the Republic of Indonesia has the authority to annul international arbitration awards in various circumstances, even when no fault is found on the part of the disputing parties.

According to national law and the 1958 New York Convention, several reasons can justify annulment, including:

- a. Violation of public policy principles, where the award is deemed inconsistent with public interest or fundamental legal norms in Indonesia.
- b. Non-compliance with arbitration procedures, particularly if the arbitration process deviated from the agreed-upon rules or governing regulations.
- c. Unfair proceedings, where a party was not given a fair opportunity to defend their rights, even if no procedural violations were committed by either party.

Thus, the Supreme Court holds the authority to annul international arbitration awards based on these factors, ensuring justice and legal certainty in Indonesia. The legal basis for such annulments involves a combination of national regulations, principles of justice, and international norms.

2. Juridical Implications of the Supreme Court's Decision on International Arbitration Practices in Indonesia

The Supreme Court (MA) plays a crucial role in arbitration practices in Indonesia, particularly in overseeing and enforcing laws related to arbitration awards. Under Law No. 30 of 1999 on Arbitration and Alternative Dispute Resolution, the Supreme Court acts as the monitoring authority over arbitration agreements, including decisions on annulment requests. The Supreme Court may annul an arbitration award if it is found to be inconsistent with Indonesian law, public interest, or principles of justice. Additionally, the Supreme Court determines whether a dispute is arbitrable and oversees compliance with agreed-upon procedures.

Beyond administrative functions, the Supreme Court holds a judicial role in maintaining the integrity and effectiveness of arbitration practices in Indonesia. If disputes arise regarding the validity of an arbitration agreement, the Supreme Court has the authority to assess and rule on its legal standing. This is crucial in providing legal certainty for the involved parties. As a result, the Supreme Court's rulings significantly impact investor confidence and arbitration users, as clear and fair decisions encourage the use of arbitration as an effective dispute resolution method.

Furthermore, the Supreme Court ensures that arbitration awards are recognized and enforced in Indonesia. The recognition and enforcement of international arbitration awards

often depend on the interpretation and application of relevant laws by the Supreme Court. Consequently, its role reflects a balance between legal interests, justice, and protection for the involved parties. From a global perspective, the Supreme Court's role is also crucial in aligning Indonesia's arbitration framework with international standards, thereby enhancing Indonesia's attractiveness as an arbitration-friendly jurisdiction.

Key Juridical Implications of the Supreme Court's Decision on International Arbitration in Indonesia:

- a. Providing a clear legal basis for the recognition and enforcement of international arbitration awards in Indonesia, as stipulated in Article 66(a) of Law No. 30 of 1999. Supreme Court rulings serve as precedents for parties seeking annulment or enforcement of awards.
- b. Encouraging the growth of international arbitration institutions in Indonesia as a preferred alternative for efficient, quick, and cost-effective dispute resolution.
- c. Enhancing legal certainty for foreign investors, fostering a better investment climate by ensuring predictable arbitration outcomes.
- d. Ensuring equal and fair treatment for all parties, both foreign and domestic, in international arbitration proceedings in Indonesia, in line with the rule of law.
- e. Strengthening Indonesia's legal position in international treaties, such as the 1958 New York Convention, which governs the recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitration awards.
- f. Improving Indonesia's legal reputation among foreign investors regarding alternative and fair dispute resolution mechanisms.

Thus, Supreme Court decisions play a crucial role in providing a clear legal foundation, ensuring legal certainty, promoting fair and balanced international arbitration practices, and reinforcing law enforcement in Indonesia in accordance with the rule of law.

3. Perspectives of Stakeholders on the Supreme Court's Decision and Trust in Indonesia's Arbitration System

The Supreme Court's decisions play a crucial role in shaping trust in Indonesia's arbitration system, influencing the legitimacy and effectiveness of dispute resolution mechanisms. Arbitration allows disputing parties to select arbitrators and establish procedural rules by mutual agreement, making the Supreme Court's support essential for legal assurance in this process.

Generally, the Supreme Court supports arbitration as an efficient dispute resolution method, as long as it adheres to justice principles and does not violate Indonesian law. However, uncertainty arises when the Supreme Court annuls arbitration awards, potentially discouraging arbitration use and prompting parties to opt for traditional litigation instead.

Key Stakeholder Perspectives on the Supreme Court's Decision:

- a. Applicant (PT Indratex Spinbo): Likely satisfied with the decision, reinforcing their trust in Indonesia's judicial system as their request for annulment was granted.
- b. Respondent (Everseason Enterprises): Likely disappointed, as the Supreme Court rejected their arbitration award enforcement, potentially reducing their trust in Indonesia's arbitration system.
- c. Foreign entrepreneurs and investors: Concerned that this ruling creates legal uncertainty, affecting confidence in arbitration enforcement in Indonesia.

d. International arbitration institutions: May assess whether the ruling aligns with international standards on arbitration award recognition.

Despite differing perspectives, business actors and investors often view Supreme Court support for arbitration as a positive factor. However, they also emphasize the need for transparency, consistency, and fairness in arbitration-related rulings to maintain trust.

From an academic standpoint, the ruling is part of Indonesia's evolving legal landscape. Scholars argue that the Supreme Court should proactively develop arbitration best practices and promote arbitration education. Meanwhile, government regulators stress the importance of effective supervision and regulation to prevent misuse while reinforcing trust in arbitration as a dispute resolution mechanism.

In summary, while the Supreme Court's rulings may increase arbitration confidence, they also highlight the need for greater legal consistency, transparency, and public education to ensure arbitration remains a reliable dispute resolution method in Indonesia.

Conclusion

Arbitration as a dispute resolution method in Indonesia holds great potential but also faces various challenges. Although Law No. 30 of 1999 provides a clear legal foundation for arbitration practices, its implementation is often hindered by issues related to the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards. This can affect the confidence of parties in using arbitration as an alternative dispute resolution mechanism. In the context of the annulment of international arbitration awards, such as the case between PT Indratex Spinndo and Everseason Enterprises Ltd, the importance of justice and procedural fairness becomes evident. The Supreme Court's decision to annul the arbitration award demonstrates a commitment to maintaining legal integrity, although such actions may also create uncertainty for businesses considering arbitration as a conflict resolution option. To enhance the effectiveness of arbitration, further efforts are needed in several aspects. First, increasing transparency and accountability in the arbitration process is crucial to boost parties' confidence. Second, education on the benefits and mechanisms of arbitration should be strengthened to attract more users. Lastly, aligning arbitration practices with international standards should be a priority so that Indonesia can become a more attractive location for investors and businesses. Thus, if these challenges can be addressed, arbitration can function optimally as a reliable dispute resolution method in Indonesia, providing legal certainty and protection for all parties involved.

References

- Adi, D. W. S. (2020). Analisis penyelesaian sengketa Laut China Selatan oleh badan arbitrase internasional. *Jurnal Hukum Lex Generalis*, 1(3), 39–51.
- Adlhiyati, I. S. (2020). Problematika pelaksanaan putusan arbitrase internasional di Indonesia. *Verstek*.
- Adolf, H. (2016). Urgensi pembentukan undang-undang tentang arbitrase internasional. *Fiat Justisia: Jurnal Ilmu Hukum*, 10(2).
- Adolf, H. (2017). Urgensi pembentukan undang-undang tentang arbitrase internasional.
- Agung, P. A. (2020). Penolakan putusan arbitrase internasional dalam penyelesaian sengketa arbitrase internasional ditinjau dari *Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Award* (Konvensi New York 1958).
- Anindita, S. D., & Amalia, P. (2017). Klasifikasi putusan arbitrase internasional menurut hukum Indonesia ditinjau dari hukum internasional. *Jurnal Bina Mulia Hukum*, 2(1), 42–53.

- Anindita, S. D., & Amalia, P. (2018). Klasifikasi putusan arbitrase internasional menurut hukum Indonesia ditinjau dari hukum internasional.
- Arsyad, P. N. (2023). Problematika implementasi asas final dan binding dalam pembatalan putusan arbitrase internasional ICSID atas sengketa investasi. *Jurnal Rectum: Tinjauan Yuridis Penanganan Tindak Pidana*.
- Batubara, S., & Purba, O. (2013). *Arbitrase internasional*. Raih Asa Sukses.
- Bianti, G. (2023). Pelaksanaan eksekusi putusan arbitrase internasional yang berpotensi menghambat kegiatan investasi asing di Indonesia. *CREPIDO*, 5(1), 64–78.
- Cinthy, M., Shara, P., Kunci, K., Usaha, P., Putusan, P., & Arbitrase, L. (2022). Upaya pembatalan putusan arbitrase di Indonesia berdasarkan perspektif hukum arbitrase internasional. *Kerta Dyatmika*.
- Dewi, N. W. L., Wibawa, I. G. K. A., & Antara, I. W. (2021). Pengaturan pengakuan dan pelaksanaan putusan arbitrase internasional berdasarkan Konvensi New York 1958 di Indonesia. *Majalah Ilmiah Universitas Tabanan*, 18(1), 121–127.
- Norman. (2019). Peran mahkamah arbitrase internasional dalam menyelesaikan sengketa antara China-Filipina (studi kasus Pulau Spratly dan Paracel).
- Nusantara, E. M. (2024). Relevansi nilai-nilai Pancasila dalam pemberlakuan putusan arbitrase internasional di Indonesia. *Jurnal Pembangunan Hukum Indonesia*.
- Permatasari, Y. (2017). Kewenangan pengadilan dalam pembatalan putusan arbitrase internasional di Indonesia. *Jurnal Privat Law*, 5(2), 26–33.
- Sukwanto, B., & Siregar, T. (2010). Pelaksanaan putusan arbitrase internasional di Indonesia. *Jurnal Mercatoria*, 3(1), 1–19.
- Tampongongoy, G. H. (2015). Arbitrase merupakan upaya hukum dalam penyelesaian sengketa dagang internasional. *Lex Et Societatis*, 3(1).
- Winarta, F. H. (2022). *Hukum penyelesaian sengketa arbitrase nasional Indonesia dan internasional: Edisi kedua*. Sinar Grafika.
- Winata, A. S. (2023). Ketidakpastian hukum dalam penyelesaian sengketa bisnis internasional melalui arbitrase internasional di Indonesia. *IBLAM Law Review*.